JURY AWARDS WALKER \$5,000 DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF WIFE

AWARDS
LKER \$0.00

AMAGES FOR

COS OF WIFE

ONE OF WIFE

MEREDITH'S SPEECH TO JURY

Instructions taking them up one by one and offered the constructions taking the constructions taking the constructions taking the constructions that the construction the construction of the part of the wire's relative to make the part of the wire's relative to the proved that there mother screamed. Dush that the part of the wire's relative to the proved that there mother screamed. Dush that the part of the wire's relative to the stand to relate the part of the wire's relative to the proved that she was leard screamed. Dush that the proved that she was leard screamed to make the wire's relative to the proved that she was leard screamed. Dush that the mother screamed to make the first the wire's relative to the stand to prove that she will be shear that the remains on the stand to prove that she was shocked? As soon as they explained that network needs the relative to the proved that she was ladded to the first to be first to be guided by what the proved the same than the altered falls to be guided by what they have a time in Virginia when they also that the could say was to exclaim, the winds are the first to be guided by what they have a time in Virginia when they also that the could say was to exclaim, the winds are the first to be guided by what they have a time in Virginia when they also that the community and scream for the purpose of separating the said and that the could say was to exclaim, the winds are their daughter. What of the young man challenged his father-in-law, and killed him to be guided by what they have the first the left of the was not needed to the first that the statute. The was a time in the world, a piece of follows. They don't have the world, a piece of follows. They don't have the world, a piece of follows. They don't have the world, a piece of follows. They don't have the world, a piece o

the difference of the plantiff against such defendants on the yield you that whe was will have been the read to the plantiff against such defendants on the yield you that whe was will have been the read to the plantiff against such defendants and to the plantiff against such defendants are the plantiff against such defendants as one open of the plantiff against such defendants as one open of the plantiff against such defendants as a state was not as a state when a state when a state was not as a state when a st

the content and charges that there is a complete that there was not malice. That man was kindly treated. He freshing the cannot get and could then the cannot get and could be a self-proof that there was not malice. That man was kindly treated. He freshing the could be a self-proof that there was not malice. The man was kindly treated. He freshing the could be a self-proof that the could be a self-proof that there was not malice. The man was kindly treated. He freshing the could be a self-proof that there was not malice. The could be a self-proof that there was not make the freshing the could be a self-proof that there was not maked by the self-proof that there was not maked to the could be a self-proof that there was not maked to self-proof the man was kindly treated. He freshing the could be a self-proof that there was not maked to self-proof the man was kindly treated. He freshing the could be a self-proof that there was not maked to make good to the could be a self-proof that there was not maked to make the man to self-proof the man to s is is a channel. The object is is considered in the constraint of the instructions is to guide you through a mass and maze of evidence. Therefore I hope you will bear with me while I explain them to you.

"I want to say to you in regard to the statement that my clients were appken of as people of pride of family Certainly they are people of pride, of an honeet pride of people who are not loafers. Oh yes, pride, the pride that somes of houest work and duty well done, and in that light I ask you to generally and the marriage being concert of action. The family knew nothing about the marriage being concert of marriage being concert of action. The family knew nothing about the marriage took place without their knowledge.

where the cardinate strong was moved the resistant strong was moved to the resistant strong was moved as the strong was the resistant strong was the resistant strong was moved as the strong was the resistant strong was moved to the resistant strong was moved as the strong was the strong was the resistant strong was the resistant strong was moved as the strong was the strong was the strong was the strong was the word of Frank's knowledge of the resistant was moved as the strong was th

"We say this girl didn't love this She must be believed," he said, "for sixteen or eighteen stepped into the marriage without constitue Mrs. Walker,"

WENDENBURG BITTER IN HIS ATTACK ON THE RATCLIFFES

tribute of weak minds. If you be-lieve that, it is a reflection on your judgment and an insult to your intel-They ask us why we don't prove

more. If I could have opened the doors of memory closed by the key of their templated, and the marriage took place without their knowledge.

"Isn't it proved beyond a shadow of doubt that her mother was shocked by the para explaining the interpretable that proved by the testimony on both sides? Didn't they introduce that evidence by their own witnesses." They

thing on direct examination, but forcan't help thinking that they remem-ber to disremember.

Missed His Caresses.

"Mr. Meredith says that that telegram may not have been worded as it should have been, but I will prove Proof of Conspiracy. that it was false. They had no reason to believe that that old lady would die that day. And after the bride and groom came home they say her love spiracy, nor does it require proof of died with a kiss. Yet she admits that died with a kiss. Yet she admits that the conspiracy by direct or in the period of their engagement his evidence, nor does it require the caresses occurred time and time again, tiff to prove that the alleged conspira and they were preparing for their al-liance which is hely in the sight of in God and man. She may owe a moral pursue it by common means, duty to her parents, but when they interfere and tell her that she make her choice between them and her husband simply because the didn't con-sult them about the marriage, they were conspiring to break up the mar- intentionally pursued the same object,

ringe. hir. Wendenburg read one of Walk- each whole neighborhood by her screaming. An officer had to go to the house to see if he was not needed. Then judge them as you would judge yourselves of there being a conspiracy must here of there being a conspiracy must here of there being a conspiracy must here of the heart of th

danger of dying.

Hear Talk of Murder.

"Don't you think all that had some effect on the girl? Didn't it make her feel as if she were insane, so that she ased her brothers to take her to a sanatorium? Then her father walks into the scene, and, laying his hands on her head, tells her that he'll sheet.

"Best or upon false information, or we information in the see how he has been humiliated, and in those who are responsible be punished. I know that they will be punished, and I know when you come before the final bar of justice it will be said of you. "Well done, thou when you came before the final bar of justice it will be said of you." Well done, thou when you came before the final bar of justice it will be said of you. "Well done, thou you gentlemen for your courtesy."

The jury is further instructed that the plaintiff is not entitled to a very dict merely because they may believed.

The premundant and unwise, is immaterial if they honestly believed that the accompany to be punished. I know when you come before the final bar of justice it they honestly believed that the accompany was given, was for he best interest.

The jury is further instructed that they will be punished. I know when you come before the final bar of justice it they honestly believed that the accompany was given, was gi

COURT'S INSTRUCTIONS IN ALIENATION SUIT

window. When a witness fails to re-plaintiff. If the jury believe from the member things against his side you evidence that the plaintiff's wife sepa-such acts and declarations were not rated from him and remained away from done and said in the presence of all.

tors came together and actually agreed in terms to a common design and to pursue it by common means. The common intent or the joint assent of the using the same or different means each performing some part, and work er's letters to his wife, and then followed with one of hers in reply. "She same object; and if you believe from seems in this letter to have missed his caresses, and as if she hadn't had a kiss for a month." He pictured their

the failer can step in between flusted band and wife, provided he does it without ill will, and if it is necessary for her health and happiness he can gause the wife to desert her husband. The rand we have no conspirately where else than to her failer's home? In order for them to prove conspirately, they must show that there of the mother and we have no consequenced by the conspirately.

The failer can step in between flust with used it as an looking at her furniture. If she said looking at her furniture. If she said looking at her furniture, if she said looking at her furniture, if she said looking at her furniture. If she said looking at her furniture, if she said not received upon, proceeded only from a feeling common to all the defendants because they when a separation of a wife from her husband in their she do not she said that she did not feel any happiness then in order to stand by the acts at the in she said not she said and not she said and not she she said not she said not she said not she she said not she said not she she said not

cleave to her husband and forsake all others. But she wasn't as bad as the others who could remember everything on direct examination, but forgot everything on cross-examination. Then their memory flew out of the constituent of the court, that those facts are established, they should find for the conspiracy.

If the jury believe from the instructions, then the acts and declarations of any of the conspiracy or common scheme to separate the plaintiff and his wife, as defined in these instructions, then the acts and declarations of any of the conspiracy or common scheme to separate the plaintiff and his wife, as defined in the evidence of the object of said conspiracy or common scheme to separate the plaintiff and his wife, as defined in these instructions, then the acts and declarations of any of the conspiracy or common scheme to separate the plaintiff and his wife, as defined in these instructions, then the acts and declarations of any of the conspiracy or common scheme to separate the plaintiff and his wife, as defined in these instructions, then the acts and declarations of any of the conspiracy. rated from him and remained away from him voluntarily and of her own choice, they should find for the defendants, although the husband may have just ground to complain of the conduct of the wife.

Proof of Conspiracy.

2. The court instructs the jury that the law does not require the actual the law does not require the actual what may have been previously done. what may have been previously done spirator at the time of doing or mak-ing them, and further that they were done or said in furtherance of the A. That su

of the charge of conspiracy in the declaration in this case, and this common design must be proved either by direct evidence or by proof of such circumstances as naturally tend to prove it, and sufficient in themselves to satisfy you of the existence of such common design before you can find a conspiracy. If you believe that no such common design or purpose by two or more of defendants, as alleged in the declaration, has been proven by the greater weight of the evidence, you must hold that no such conspiracy was ever entered into.

6. You are also instructed that if you shall find that no such conspiracy was entered into, then any evidence was entered into, then any evidence was entered into, then any evidence was false, and for the purpose of producing such separation; or C. That such defendant excited in her mind hatred, illwill or contempt for the plaintiff by some false and malicious statement, made for the purpose of producing such separation; or D. That such defendant excited in her mind hatred, illwill or contempt for the plaintiff by some false and malicious statement, made for the purpose of producing such separation; or D. That such defendant prejudiced the plaintiff's wife against him by untrue and mallclous statement made for the purpose of producing such separation; or C. That such defendant excited in her mind hatred, illwill or contempt for the purpose of producing such separation; or C. That such defendant prejudiced the plaintiff's wife against him by untrue and mallclous statement made for the purpose of producing such separation; or C. That such defendant excited in her mind hatred, illwill or contempt for the purpose of producing such separation; or C. That such defendant prejudiced the plaintiff's wife against him by untrue and mallclous statement made for the purpose of producing such separation; or C. That such defendant prejudiced the plaintiff's wife against him by untrue and mallclous statement made for the purpose of producing such separation; or C. That such defendant prejudiced the

may have given, but also upon the mo-tive with which it was given. Each of the defendants had in law the right to give to her such advice and to bring such means of persuasion or inducement to bear upon her as they fairly and honestly considered to be called for by her best interests, and no one of the defendants is liable for her leaving the plaintiff in consequence thereof, unless he or she was actuated thereof, unless he or she was actuated by malice or ill will towards the plain tiff and not by a proper regard for her welfare and happiness. The burden is upon the plaintiff to prove no only the alleged acts, but also of over coming the presumption of law in their favor as to their motives and also of clearly proving that they were actuated

by ill will or malice

Burden on Plaintiff.

10. The jury are instructed that where it is the presumption of law that the motive which prompted an act was proper, and where the burden is imposed upon the plaintiff to show that the motive which prompted th action was improper and malicious, and the evidence as to the motive is reasonably susceptible of motive which prompted the action was proper.

11. If the jury believe from the evidence that no conspiracy is shown his own acts, the jury are instructed that before they can return or said by the others, or any of them, against any one of the defendants in furtherance of the common object. they must believe from the evidence Provided that such acts and declarations were done or made by one who is upon the plaintiff the wrong alleged shown by the evidence to be a conthe following methods alleged in the

A. That such defendant sent a false common object of the conspiracy.

What Must Be Proven.

5. The jury are instructed that a common design or purpose by two or more of the defendants is the essence more of the defendants is the essence plaintiff. Such telegram must have the charge of conspiracy in the been sent with the knowledge or be

Must Consider State of Mind.

12. And the jury is instructed that in considering and judging the statements and acts of the defendants on (Continued on Page Eleven.)